Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
	10/659,331	BOSCHA ET AL.		
	Examiner	Art Unit		
	Matthew D. Hoel	3714		
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):				
(1) Matthew D. Hoel, examiner.	(3) <u>llya Zborovsky, attorne</u>	<u> </u>		
(2) <u>Xuan Thai, SPE</u> .	(4) <u>Bogie Boscha, inventor</u> .			
Date of Interview: 24 October 2007.				
Type: a)☐ Telephonic b)☐ Video Conference c)☐ Personal [copy given to: 1)☐ applicant	2)∏ applicant's representative	e]		
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d)⊠ Yes e) No. If Yes, brief description: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .				
Claim(s) discussed: <u>75-79</u> .				
Identification of prior art discussed: <u>Tuer, Konow, "KISS Guide to Playing Golf," Bluetooth specification</u> .				
Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. €	g)⊠ was not reached. h)☐ N	N/A.		
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .				
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)				
THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.				
	XUAN M. THA SUPERVISORY PATENT	EXAMINER		
Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.	Examiner's sigr	nature, if required		

Application No. 10/659,331

Continuation of Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted, If Yes, brief description: Mr. Boscha showed the examiner a data file containing the sensor data for the golf swing and physical data identifying a golf club.

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Mr. Boscha said that two golf clubs would still be uniquely identified even if they happened to have identical characteristics. The data file has a date and time stamp to uniquely identify each swing and includes the swing data and the golf club serial number. The display of the swing data on the computer displays the motion of the club based on the swing data as well as the golf club's serial number and physical characteristics. The applicant and his attorney believed that the Bluetooth address was used for initial connection purposes only and did not suggest the unique golf club serial number. The examiner had pointed to Bluetooth's unique addresses and the fact that a golfer carries multiple clubs of varying types as indicating an ability inherent in Bluetooth and motivation of one of ordinary skill in the art for uniquely identifying each club. No agreement was reached. The applicants will send in clarifying claim language before the examiner's next action.

Applicant Initiated Intervi		form		
Application No 10 659331 First Named Applicant: Examiner: M. D. Hoel Art Unit: 3714	Status of App	lication:	edne	
Tentative Participants: (1) T. ZOOFOVSKY (2)		,		
(3) B. Bosch a (4)				
Proposed Date of Interview: 10/24 Proposed T	ime: #1:00	(AM)PM)	·	
Type of Interview Requested: (1) [] Telephonic (2) [x] Personal (3) [] Video Conference				
Exhibit To Be Shown or Demonstrated: [] YES If yes, provide brief description:	Μνο			
Issues To Be Discussed				
Issues Claims Prior (Rej., Obj., etc) Fig. #s Art	Discussed	Agreed	Not Agreed	
(1) Rej. 103/a) 75-79 Konow	H	[]	W	
(2)	. []	[]	[]	
(3)	[]	[]	[]	
(4)	[]	[]	[]	
[] Continuation Sheet Attached				
Brief Description of Arguments to be Presented:				
It will be explained line the p	result in	renton	<u>) s</u>	
	ke comple		ofray	
An interview was conducted on the above-identified appli	cation on	29-200	97.	
NOTE: This form should be completed by applicant and submitted by		1:00 A.N		
This form should be completed by applicant and submitted to the examiner in advance of the interview (see MPEP § 713.01). This application will not be delivered for the contract of the interview (see MPEP).				
This application will not be delayed from issue because of applicant's failure to submit a written record of this interview. Therefore, applicant is advised to file a statement of the substance of this interview (37 CFR 1.133(b)) as soon as possible.				
Mon (I. Chouses)				
(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature) (Examiner/SPE Signature)				

This collection of information is required by 37 CFR 1.133. The information is required to obtain or retain a benefit by the public which is to file (and by the USPTO to process) an application. Confidentiality is governed by 35 U.S.C. 122 and 37 CFR 1.14. This collection is estimated to take 21 minutes to complete, including gathering, preparing, and submitting the completed application form to the USPTO. Time will vary depending upon the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you require to complete this form and/or suggestions for reducing this burden, should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, U.S. Department of Commerce, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

If you need assistance in completing the form, call 1-800-PTO-9199 and select option 2.